



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/733,264

12/12/2003

Hideo Hoshuyama

118019

8658

25944 7590 01/09/2009

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

TSAI, TSUNG YIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/733,264	Applicant(s) HOSHUYAMA, HIDEO	
	Examiner TSUNG-YIN TSAI	Art Unit 2624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAIL ACTION

Acknowledge of **Applicant response with no Amendment** received on 11/25/2008 and made of record.

Acknowledge of Extension of time filed on 11/25/2008.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument – Page 2-3, regarding claim 1, applicant argues where the division of image data taught by the prior art, where the division of the image by Hirai divides a focus object to be photograph as viewed through a camera, not image data to be process or divided.

Examiner's response – Examiner would like to point out what is capture on the sensor is image data as well and thus can be process on the sensor before storage to memory. Examiner would like to point out page 3 paragraph 0044 discloses where the sensors 9 has a light receiving section, this is where the image data created on the sensor itself. The image data on the sensor itself is further divided into section A0-5. Thus from this point of view the image data is divided. Page 4 paragraphs 0057, further discloses how the image data is process and then divided.

Examining the language of the claims again it seems there is a conflict what kind of image data we are talking about. From the claim language it is unclear whether the image data is recalled from storage or process on the sensor itself to be process.

Art Unit: 2624

Applicant's argument – Page 3, regarding claim 1, applicant argues where dividing of A0-5 corresponding to single color values and not plurality of pixels. Applicant pointed to paragraph 0044 of Hirai et al for such support.

Examiner's response – Paragraph 0044 of Hirai et al discloses sensor 9R, 9G, 9B and 9D, where these sensors are filters for red, green, blue and luminosity compensation. Examiner would like to point out where the filter still discloses plurality of pixel, but only in the ranges of only red, green and blue plurality of pixel. These sensors are than divided into the A0-5 plurality of small area and further process regarding the pixels properties.

Applicant is correct that there single color values, but is wrong regarding Hirai et al does not teach plurality of pixels. The filter that is discloses filter colors to one color but there is still plurality of the pixels of the particular color on the whole sensor. However, the claim language is regarding to plurality of pixels which is taught by the Hirai et al.

Applicant's argument – Page 3, applicant argues there is no mention of output values obtained by further dividing each of the areas.

Examiner's response – Hirai et al discloses in paragraph 0057 where data Bvad(i) which represents A/D converted output voltages (analog data) of the photometry areas A_i ($i=0, 1, \dots, 5$) shown in FIG. 4 of the photometry sensor 9D for normal light is obtained. Further, data Bvad.g(i), Bvad.b(i) and Bvad.r(i) which represent A/D converted values of the output voltages of the photometry areas A_i ($i=0, 1, 2, \dots, 5$) of each of the

Art Unit: 2624

sensors 9G, 9B and 9R for color components are obtained. Then, the A/D converted values Bvd(i) of the sensor 9D output are adjusted to brightness values Bvd(i) (S111). The A/D converted values Bvd.g(i), Bvd.b(i) and Bvd.r(i) are also adjusted to the brightness values Bvd.g(i), Bvd b(i) and Bvd.r(i), respectively (S112). It should be noted that the A/D conversion at steps S111 and S112 are well-know A/D conversion procedure, and the output voltage values (analog data) are converted into corresponding digital data.

Thus mention of output values obtained by further dividing each of the areas are discloses by Hirai et al.

Applicant's argument – Page 3-4 regarding dependent claims.

Examiner's response – Hirai et al teaches all the claims limitation, thus all the claims are rejected still.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-2, 4, 6 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Hirai et al (US 2001/0003557 A1).

Hirai et al disclose a image-processing device (title, abstract), also seen as a digital still camera (title, abstract, figure 1), carrying out the method (abstract, figures 7-16, 18-20 and 22) comprising:

(1) Regarding claims 1 and 6:

an image information generating part (page 1 paragraph 0005. The colorimetry system is seen as the information generating part) for dividing an image (figure 23, page 1 paragraph 0005) to be processed into a plurality of small areas (page 1 paragraph 0005 shows the colorimetry system divides the original image to sections), said small areas (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5) each consisting of plurality of pixels (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5 these small areas covers a region of the image data, and these regions are consist of plurality of pixel values, figure 6 discloses where the image is digitalize in order to be store in EEPROM and RAM memory, figure 17 discloses the RGB and CYM color values for the image), and for generating, for each of said small areas, image information indicating a characteristic of the image (page 1 paragraph 0005, page 2 paragraphs 0013-0015, page 8 claims 1-2. Varies type of characteristic of the image will be look at; the lighting exposure, measurement of the color red, green and blue.);

an evaluation value determining part (page 1 paragraphs 0007-0008. The compensation amount determining system is the system that will carry on the process like light meter measuring and exposure measuring) for determining an

evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) **indicating luminosity** (page 1 paragraph 0005 discloses exposure amount, paragraph 0008 discloses light metering system. Exposure amount and light metering system is seen as determining the luminosity) **for each of the plurality of small area** (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5 these small areas covers a region of the image data, and these regions are consist of plurality of pixel values, figure 6 discloses where the image is digitalize in order to be store in EEPROM and RAM memory, figure 17 discloses the RGB and CYM color values for the image); and

an image-processing part (page 3 paragraph 0046, 0049-0050 and 0057. There are various parts that take image data for output; LCD panel 21 and the controller 20) for performing an image processing on each of the pixels (figure 6 and page 3 paragraph 0045 shows processing of the image processing. Page 3 paragraph 0050 show exposure value calculation procedure according to the brightness value Bvd. Page 3 paragraph 0046 shows image processing as a display of the LCD panel 24) of the image **data to be processed** according to the evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) determined by said evaluation value determining part (page 1 paragraphs 0007-0008. The compensation amount determining

system is the system that will carry on the process like light meter measuring and exposure measuring) **for a small area** (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5 these small areas covers a region of the image data) **to which a pixel** (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5 these small areas covers a region of the image data, and these regions are consist of plurality of pixel values) **belongs and the evaluation value** (page 1 paragraph 0005-0010 discloses measuring meters, these values are seen as evaluation values that will be use for exposure compensation amount) **determined for small area** (figure 4B discloses areas such as A0-5) **adjacent to the small area** (figure 4B discloses areas such as A0-5, where the areas are next to each other).

(2) Regarding claim 2:

wherein said image-processing part (page 3 paragraph 0046, 0049-0050 and 0057. There are various parts that take image data for output; LCD panel 21 and the controller 20) includes the luminance level correcting part (page 1 paragraph 0007 show a compensation amount determining system that processes exposure level on each divided area. Page 1 paragraph 0008 shows a light measuring system that measures the incoming luminance level on incoming data. Page 3 paragraph 0044 shows remaining sensor 9D that is provided with a luminosity compensating figure the select the range of desire wavelength of incoming light exposure. Page 3 paragraph 0050 shows at step S15 an

“exposure value calculation procedure” that is executed bas on the brightness value Bvd) for correcting a luminance level of the image; and

said luminance level correcting part (page 1 paragraph 0007 show a compensation amount determining system that processes exposure level on each divided area. Page 1 paragraph 0008 shows a light measuring system that measures the incoming luminance level on incoming data. Page 3 paragraph 0044 shows remaining sensor 9D that is provided with a luminosity compensating figure the select the range of desire wavelength of incoming light exposure. Page 3 paragraph 0050 shows at step S15 an “exposure value calculation procedure” that is executed bas on the brightness value Bvd) determines a luminance level correcting coefficient (page 3 paragraph 0049 shows a Bvd value, that is seen as the correcting coefficient, with will be use by operation S20 executed by the controller 20, page 3 paragraph 0050 shows the value Bvd, which is obtain for the “exposure value calculation procedure”, page 4 paragraph 0057 shows that the value Bvd response to adjustment of the brightness value) used for the luminance level correction according to the evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) for each of said pixels determined by said evaluation value determining part (page 1 paragraphs 0007-0008. The compensation amount determining system is the system that will carry on the process like light meter measuring and exposure measuring) so as to perform a

luminance level correction (page 1 paragraph 0007 show a compensation amount determining system that processes exposure level on each divided area. Page 1 paragraph 0008 shows a light measuring system that measures the incoming luminance level on incoming data. Page 3 paragraph 0044 shows remaining sensor 9D that is provided with a luminosity compensating figure the select the range of desire wavelength of incoming light exposure. Page 3 paragraph 0050 shows at step S15 an “exposure value calculation procedure” that is executed bas on the brightness value Bvd) processing on each of said pixels by using the coefficient (page 3 paragraph 0049 shows a Bvd value, that is seen as the correcting coefficient, with will be use by operation S20 executed by the controller 20. Page 3 paragraph 0050 shows the value Bvd, which is obtain for the “exposure value calculation procedure”. Page 4 paragraph 0057 shows that the value Bvd response to adjustment of the brightness value).

(3) Regarding claim 4:

wherein said evaluation value determining part (page 1 paragraphs 0007-0008. The compensation amount determining system is the system that will carry on the process like light meter measuring and exposure measuring) performs a pre-correction processing (page 1 paragraph 0008 shows a light metering system which is seen as a pre-correcting processing system before the image is taken. Page 3 paragraph 0044 shows a luminosity compensating filter, which only let in certain wavelength rage as well as the normal light detecting sensor is also seen as a pre-correcting processing system) on the image information (page 1

Art Unit: 2624

paragraph 0005, page 2 paragraphs 0013-0015, page 8 claims 1-2. Varies type of characteristic of the image will be look at; the lighting exposure, measurement of the color red, green and blue) for each of said small areas ((page 1 paragraph 0005. The colorimetry system divides the original image to sections) generated by said image information generating part (page 1 paragraph 0005. The colorimetry system is seen as the information generating part) in accordance with a characteristic of a photo-taking lens (page 3 paragraph 0044 show filter lens letting in selected wavelengths) used for generating the image, and then determines the evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) according to the pre-corrected image information (page 1 paragraph 0008 shows a light metering system which is seen as a pre-correcting processing system before the image is taken. Page 3 paragraph 0044 shows a luminosity compensating filter, which only let in certain wavelength rage as well as the normal light detecting sensor is also seen as a pre-correcting processing system) for each of said small areas (page 1 paragraph 0005 shows colorimetry system divides the original image to sections).

(4) Regarding claim 7:

further comprising a divisional photometry part (figure 1, figure 23, page 1 paragraph 0005 show a photometry area into a plurality of area, page 1 paragraph 0007) for dividing a subject field into a plurality of photometry areas

(page 1 paragraph 0005 shows the colorimetry system divides the original image to sections) and performing photometry for each of the photometry areas (page 1 paragraph 0005 show that photometry area in plurality of areas and colorimetry is performed on these areas, page 1 paragraph 0010 shows that exposure compensation amount is perform on the plurality of photometry areas), wherein said image information generating part (page 1 paragraph 0005. The colorimetry system is seen as the information generating part) generates the image information (page 1 paragraph 0005, page 2 paragraphs 0013-0015 and page 8 claims 1-2. Varies type of characteristic of the image will be look at; the lighting exposure, measurement of the color red, green and blue) based on information obtained from said divisional photometry part (figure 1, figure 23, page 1 paragraph 0005 show a photometry area into a plurality of area, page 1 paragraph 0007).

(5) Regarding claim 9:

dividing an image to be processed into a plurality of small areas (figure 23, page 1 paragraph 0005), said small areas (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5) each consisting of plurality of pixels (figure 4B disclose the image that is taken, where small areas are mark from A0 to A5 these small areas covers a region of the image data, and these regions are consist of plurality of pixel values, figure 6 discloses where the image is digitalize in order to be store in EEPROM and RAM memory, figure 17 discloses the RGB and CYM color values for the image), and for generating, for

each of said small areas, image information indicating a characteristic of the image (page 1 paragraph 0005, page 2 paragraphs 0013-0015, page 8 claims 1-2. Varies type of characteristic of the image will be look at; the lighting exposure, measurement of the color red, green and blue);

determining an evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) according to the image information generated (page 3 paragraph 0046, 0049-0050 and 0057. There are various parts that take image data for output; LCD panel 21 and the controller 20) for each of said small areas and the image information generated for each of small areas (figure 6 and page 3 paragraph 0045 shows processing of the image processing. Page 3 paragraph 0050 show exposure value calculation procedure according to the brightness value Bvd. Page 3 paragraph 0046 shows image processing as a display of the LCD panel 24) adjacent to the each of said small areas, the evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) indicating luminosity (page 3 paragraph 0044-0045, 0049. Brightness value, Bvd, is measure and calculated) of each of pixels constituting the image; and

performing an image processing (figure 6 and page 3 paragraph 0045 shows processing of the image processing. Page 3 paragraph 0050 show exposure value calculation procedure according to the brightness value Bvd.

Page 3 paragraph 0046 shows image processing as a display of the LCD panel 24) on each of the pixels of the image according to the evaluation value (figure 7, page 1 paragraph 0010, page 3 paragraphs 0044-0045 and 0049. Luminosity values are compensated by filter and process from analog value to digital values) determined in the evaluation-value determining step (page 1 paragraphs 0007-0008. The compensation amount determining system is the system that will carry on the process like light meter measuring and exposure measuring).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai et al (US 2001/0003557 A1) in view of Kita (US 2002/0051569 A1).

(1) Regarding claim 3:

Hirai et al teaches all of the subject matter as describe in claim 1 above.

Hirai et al does not teach regarding smoothing processing of the image.

However, Kite teaches regarding the smoothing processing of the image (page 3 paragraph 0038-0049).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Katie teaching to Hirai et al regarding smoothing processing

of the image, such it would effectively reduce the coarse look of the image without blurring (page 3 paragraph 0045), and greatly enhance with better contrast, less of the coarse, and retain the sharpness of the image (page 3 paragraph 0048).

10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai et al (US 2001/0003557 A1) in view of Kimura (US Patent Number 6,333,792 B1).

(1) Regarding claim 5:

Hirai et al teaches all of the subject matter as describe in claim 1 above.

Hirai et al does not teach regarding a ratio of distance from a pixel and a predetermine point.

However, Kimura teaches ratio of distance from a pixel (page 1 lines 55-65) and a predetermine point (page 1 lines 55-65 show that there is a original pixel without the enlargement factor).

It would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ Kimura teachings to Hirai et al regarding ratio of distance from a pixel and a predetermine point, such that when enlargement operation is requested it will attain optimal enlargement by switching various interpolation schemes depending on the layout sate of the surrounding pixels (page 1 lines 60-65).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kobayashi et al (US Patent Number 6,388,709 B1) disclose image sensing apparatus with optical modulation elements having transmission characteristics controllable by pixel.

Kawakami et al (US Patent Number 6,005,984) disclose process and apparatus for extracting and recognizing figure elements using division into receptive fields, polar transformation, application of one-dimensional filter, and correlation between plurality of images.

Kawakami et al (US Patent Number 5,901,252) disclose Process and apparatus for extracting and recognizing figure elements using division into receptive fields, polar transformation, application of one-dimensional filter, and correlation between plurality of images.

Berlin, Jr et al (US Patent Number 4,677,576) disclose non-edge computer image generation system.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2624

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TSUNG-YIN TSAI whose telephone number is (571)270-1671. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8 am - 5 pm ESP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jingge Wu can be reached on (571)272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jingge Wu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Tsung-Yin Tsai/

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

January 5, 2009